

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/549,873	JANOWSKI ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
STUART S. BRAY	3733	

All Participants:

Status of Application: RCE

(1) STUART S. BRAY.

(3) Steven Favakeh.

(2) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2011

Time: 10:30am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 21, 30

Prior art documents discussed:

Yuan

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/STUART S BRAY/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3733

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Attorney Favakeh discussed the amended claims in the application, specifically the addition of the location of the camming surface on the cam lock. Mr. Favakeh explained that the cam lock does not translate vertically when rotated to cam the rod; this is distinguishing over the Yuan design, which has camming surfaces on the flanges and translates vertically when rotated.